



Making the Home Network Accountable:

tackling TCO through studies of practice

Cosener Talk July 2010



From broadband to wireless networks

- Massive adoption of broadband in households around the world
- Accompanied by a massive uptake of home networks
- More and more computing devices in the home
- Increasing distribution of these devices *around* the home
- The turn to wireless networks for support
- Also encouraged by wireless being offered as a standard feature with many ADSL modems



A disastrous visit

- Arrival of a guest: first night all is well
- The problem becomes manifest: the host browses the web but the guest can't get a connection
- Rebooting of the guest machine: gets a connection but now the host can't get online
- Persistence of connection from some machines and not from others
- Trying to debug the network:
 - Changing channel but has the AP got a new lease from the ISP?
 - Inadvertent changing of settings
 - Changing configuration of client bridge
 - Dependence on client machine views, what's the state of the connection to the main AP?
 - Resort to forums having to use 3G
 - When is a connection a connection?
 - Finally arrive at number of DHCP lease issue



Providing support and its impact on TCO

- Customer service and the provision of support:
 - Service engineers > Helplines > Online resources
 - Autonomic systems
- The cost of support and the aim of reducing TCO by reducing the cost of support:
 - The lowest overhead for everyone is if the users can fix it for themselves (quickly/easily)
- Relation to home networks:
 - BT example: £10 per call over 90% of calls not relating to BT equipment
 - Hard for users to resolve troubles when things go wrong (or even know where the trouble lies)



Issues

- Visibility:
 - Variety of interfaces
 - Often apparently in the wrong place
 - Complexity of interfaces
 - No grounding in commonsense reasoning about how such things might work
 - Difficulty of getting view from the network perspective
- Distribution of devices
- Interrogatibility
 - Looking for self-evident guidance that isn't there
- What counts as an anomaly?
- Situated reasoning and relative priorities
 - Guest access v the kids playing a game
- Reducing TCO in this space means making home networks more available to ordinary, non-specialist understanding
- The need to look at and understand local practice

Cosener Talk July 2010



Homework

- EPSRC-funded project under the WINES III initiative (ending 2012)
- Collaboration between University of Nottingham, University of Glasgow, Imperial College London, Georgia Institute of Technology, Microsoft Research (Cambridge), and BT
- Goal = investigating how to create completely new network architectures that are adapted to not just technical but human considerations
- Work grounded in studies of use of computer networks in the home
- Combining empirical understanding of use with fundamental reinvention of protocols, models and architectures
- Ambitions:
 - Developing techniques and tools that users will readily understand
 - Developing an infrastructure that can configure and repair itself
- See http://www.homenetworks.ac.uk/



Ethnography and studying networks in the wild

• Ethnography:

- "Getting down off the verandah" and "Grasping the native's point of view"
- Hanging around... but that's not the point
- Arriving at a "thick description"
- Understanding local reasoning and methods
- Homework studies:
 - Studying network use in the wild
 - Ongoing observations in multiple households constituted in numerous different ways
 - Variety of methods:
 - Principally observation
 - But also interviews and logging



Trying to fix the network

- People turn to a range of simple practices to resolve troubles when they arise, e.g.:
 - (i) Discovering trouble
 - Is it really a problem?
 - (ii) Standard procedures
 - Restarts and reconnections
 - (iii) Reasoning about causes and assigning blame
 - Comparing past and present behaviours
 - (iv) Inspections
 - Trying to make the grounds of trouble visible
 - Investigating settings and connections
 - (v) Interventions
 - Changing settings
 - Doing resets
 - Observing outcomes
- However, faced with current issues regarding transparency and accountability, they
 often move rapidly to escalation

Cosener Talk July 2010



Escalation

- There are various escalation techniques:
 - (i) Locating external information, e.g. using forums...
 - (ii) ... then testing the proposed solutions (or not)
 - (iii) Seeking external assistance, e.g. helplines
 - (iv) Enabling external intervention
 - (v) Service interventions
 - Someone who knows
 - Local contracts
 - Service engineers
- Many of these strategies carry TCO implications



Making the home network more visible and intelligible

• Have been some moves towards tackling some of these issues on the part of manufacturers etc., e.g. Belkin N1 Vision Access Point:





- Real time
- But technical and limited to just one level and understanding of connection

Cosener Talk July 2010



Ways forward

- Homework has been concentrating on how to provide information about networks and their performance that is both transparent and accountable
- This demands provision of both technical infrastructural resources and policies that can then translate readily into a variety of situated representations
- The implications of the representations must be evident to the members of the setting...
- ... and open to their ordinary reasoning, e.g. accountable
- Also looking at how to use these as tools through which users can easily manage their own, local network configurations, according to need
- Currently building variety of early prototypes that can be inserted into real home environments to then gather further understanding and refine across further iterations ...



Smartphone displays ...

- Showing various views of the network
- Bandwidth Contention
- Bandwidth consumption: by device / by application



Network Activity Monitor

Inspecting Download Activity: by device / by content







Ambient displays ...

- Showing network activity
- The Signal Probe
- Signal Strength / Bandwidth Usage / Connection Warning / Forbidden Activity



Fig 1a. Prototype of the LED Probe

		(Leike	1041 MMD
			NTL THROAD IN LOTHER TO
지 않고 (1995년 1997년 19 11년 1997년 1997년 1997년 1997년 199 1997년 1997년 199 1997년 1997년 199 1997년 1997년			NUL CONVENT IN EASTAILORS
			NOVER BORNARY (3, (5, 008/710540)
			ON D FFERENCE MURITUALING
and a demain demain a demain and a demain a demain and a demain a demain and a			NTL CHEINE BURST. 10 (17)
900 2 (0.0710) 1000 1000 00000 1000 1000 000000 1000 1000 000000 1000 1000 000000 1000 1000 000000			COLD DIVISION AND PROVIDED
101 T 1010 ART/11010 of Contrast Art/1101A ART/1101A			WTLL ME HER FLOR \$157 PERMITAN
and compared in (1) and a short of the state			UND DODDE MURTICIPALINE
New Orld. Sciences of the second sciences of			NTE (1007000100 01/11/11/10/00
			NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY
GREE STORM BERTSTONED			CALL DIVERSION AND TOTAL OF
ally indexed subjects of			NUL CONTRACT DUPON STATE

Fig 1b. GUI for selecting what the probe 'signals'

Cosener Talk July 2010



Display and control interfaces ...

- Offering scope for network management
- Device Control Panel
- All devices available to router / Signal strength / Permissions / Quality of connection / Control internet-based applications



Cosener Talk July 2010



Mixed interfaces ...

- Personal Network Presence
- Configurable Personal Representations
- Permissions Mobile Display showing:
 - Devices on the network
 - Access rights
 - Bandwidth usage
 - Connection retry count
 - Signal strength
- Network Activity Report Physical Artefact showing:
 - Devices on network
 - Bandwidth
 - Port usage
- Utility Bill Paper Display showing:
 - Numerical itemization of device-related bandwidth consumption and port data
 - Trends

Cosener Talk July 2010

