Tweaking TCP's Timers



Kieran Mansley Laboratory for Communication Engineering







Context



- Researching user-level TCP for my PhD.
- Focusing on how to implement it efficiently at user level, particularly in a server room.
- Timers are a small but interesting part of that.

Historical Context



- TCP was first specified in the early 1980s
 - OS support for time was poor and costly
 - Networks were slower, so time intervals longer.
- Portability very important, all leads to... TCP has weak requirements of the OS

What are TCP Timers?



- Not measuring time (usually).
- Enable an action to be performed later.
- Mostly used to deal with inactivity:
 - Timer set when activity is expected
 - Timer *cancelled* when activity occurs
 - If timer expires, recovery action is executed.

Delayed Acknowledgments

- TCP sends acks for reliability and flow control.
- Can either be a separate packet or piggyback on a data packet.
- Acks are delayed to encourage piggybacking.
- Timer used to ensure delay is limited.





Timer Ticks Illustrated









Timer Techniques

- How to implement timers?
- Trad. scheme based on 100ms clock ticks
 - Maintain flags in per-connection state.
 - Each tick, check list of connections for timers.
- Modern scheme based on hashed hierarchical timing wheel.
 - Ordered list of timers, use hardware clock to trigger the check and schedule operation.

Problems



- Inaccurate delay of acks: from 0ms to 200ms
- List of connections must be searched each clock tick.
- A busy connection will still regularly send separate ack packets.

Potential Solution (i)

• Change profile of delay:



Potential Solution (ii)



• Use two timer buckets to achieve delay limits:



Potential Solution (iii)



- Implementation of buckets:
 - Lazy switch avoids need for scheduling.
 - Timer execution when blocking op encountered.
 - Data thread used for active connections.
 - Time checking done using "rtdsc" counter.

Potential Solution (iv)



- Handle timers for active connections from the data thread.
- Removes need for locking, other than for handing connections between threads.
- No list searching, but...
- Increased set/clear timer complexity.



CPU Usage Tradeoff



Summary



- Timers at user level can benefit from a different solution.
- Change the way timers are implemented to:
 - Give guaranteed lower, reduced upper bound;
 - Avoid locking by checking timers in data thread.
- Minor performance issue for current TCPs
 - May be more important in future.

Questions/Comments?



Kieran Mansley kjm25@cam.ac.uk http://www-lce.eng.cam.ac.uk/~kjm25

Available as technical report CUED/F-INFENG/TR.487